

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,211	FRISKEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dan Washburn	2672	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Dan Washburn.

(3) _____.

 (2) Clifton Mueller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2005
Time: 3:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I discussed an objection and the possibility of an examiner's amendment to claim 9 with Clifton Mueller. Claim 9 was originally a contradiction of claim 1, which it depended on. I suggested adding language to the claim that would clarify the matter and Mr. Mueller agreed to the examiner's amendment.